



Brainstorming Nutrient Removal at Four
North Carolina wastewater treatment
plants

Webinar for North Carolina Wastewater Operators
March 25, 2021
10:00 - 11:45 AM

Grant Weaver, PE & wastewater operator
G.Weaver@CleanWaterOps.com

Energy & Nutrient Optimization of NC Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plants

Biological Nitrogen Removal, Parts 1&2

Activated Sludge, Parts 1&2

Biological Phosphorus Review, Parts 1&2


Today: North Carolina Case Studies, Part 1
**Eden-Mebane Bridge, Reidsville, Newton-Clark
Creek & Asheboro**

Apr 8: North Carolina Case Studies, Part 2

Apr 15: Energy Management, Part 1

Apr 22: Energy Management, Part 2

Apr 29: North Carolina Case Studies, Part 3 (your plants!)








Eden, North Carolina

Population: 15,000

13.5 MGD design flow



MEBANE BRIDGE WASTEWATER FACILITY

CITY OF EDEN

204 Mebane Bridge Road

Eden, North Carolina 27288

Permit # NC0025071

The City of Eden's Wastewater Facility is responsible for handling and treating up to 13.5 million gallons of wastewater from the local citizens, businesses, and industries in a day's time. On average, the plant only has to handle approximately 2.5 – 4.5 MGD. All of the wastewater is collected in lines throughout the city and either pumped from the city's pump stations or gravity fed to the wastewater facility.

Once inside the plant, the wastewater goes through a preliminary treatment system. First, it must pass through one of two mechanical bar screens in order to remove larger inert material, such as rags or sticks. Next, it passes through an aerated grit channel to freshen up the wastewater and remove smaller inert material, such as sand or egg shells. The removal of this material helps to protect the equipment in the plant from extra wear. The collected material is then sent to the nearest landfill after it is dried out.

After preliminary treatment, the wastewater is divided between two, seven million gallon aeration basins. Each basin contains 12 brush aerators that keep the liquid mixed and the dissolved oxygen above a 2.0 mg/l. This mixed liquor contains "bugs" that feed off of the solids in the wastewater, which helps the solids to settle out in later treatment units. This is what makes the whole process a biological treatment system. Extra settled solids are sent back into these basins to make sure that there are enough "bugs" to feed on the solids, and periodically, part of the older settled solids are wasted into a digester for further treatment so that the "bugs" do not get over populated.

From here, the wastewater is divided into four secondary clarifiers. There are two 90 feet diameter clarifiers and two 130 feet diameter clarifiers on this site. In this secondary treatment, the solids in the mixed liquor from the aeration basins are given time to settle out in the bottom of the tanks. The clear water then goes into the final stage of treatment.

Solids wasted from the secondary process are sent to a CleanB system for chemical treatment and then stored on site and dewatered. Once ready, it is dewatered on a belt press into a cake form, stored on a storage pad as needed, and then land applied on farm land. This is a beneficial use for farmers, reducing the amount of chemicals that they might need to produce healthy crops.

This final stage of treatment consists of three chlorine contact basins. All of the water from all four of the clarifiers comes together at one point where chlorine gas is injected. The chlorine contact basins are designed in a serpentine pattern to allow proper contact time for the chlorine to disinfect the water. The contact time for each basin is between 30 and 45 minutes. At the end of the three basins, sodium bisulfite is added to the wastewater to neutralize the chlorine since too much chlorine can be harmful to the aquatic life in the river. At this point, the effluent is released to the Dan River clean and safe.



Mebane Bridge Rd

Mebane Bridge Rd

Tyner Pl

Mebane Bridge Rd


Mebane Bridge Rd

Mebane Bridge Rd

Google


Effluent total-Nitrogen Eden, North Carolina

Monthly average tN Rolling AVG tN



Effluent total-Phosphorus Eden, North Carolina

total-P Rolling 12-mo AVG



Questions?

Comments?

Melinda Ward
mward@edennc.us




Reidsville, North Carolina

Population: 14,000

7.5 MGD design flow



Section 2: Treatment Process Flow Diagram





Broad St

esome Creek

Reidsville Wastewater Treatment


Little Troublesome Creek

Google

Photos


Effluent total-Nitrogen Reidsville, North Carolina

Monthly average tN Rolling AVG tN



Effluent total-Phosphorus Reidsville, North Carolina

total-P Rolling 12-mo AVG



Questions?

Comments?

Scott Bryan

sbryan@ci.Reidsville.nc.us




Newton, North Carolina

Population: 13,000

MGD design flow








Clark Creek


Effluent total-Nitrogen Newton, North Carolina

Monthly average tN Rolling AVG tN



Effluent total-Phosphorus Newton, North Carolina

total-P Rolling 12-mo AVG



Questions?

Comments?

Eric Jones


ejones@newtonnc.gov



Asheboro, North Carolina

Population: 26,000

9.0 MGD design flow



Asheboro WWTP

- Rated for 9 MGD
- Extended aeration (Schreiber System)
- BOD limit 5mg/l : 10mg/l
- NH₃ limit 2mg/l : 4mg/l
- Monitor only for Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorus

Changes Affecting Asheboro

From 2005 to Present

- Major industrial users shut down
- Went from 70% industrial to 90% domestic
- Lost 3 MGD in daily average flow
- Press Filtrate disrupting aeration basins
- Permit Renewal in 2016 is still pending
- Detention Time too long through plant
- Diurnal Flow
- Shrinking Budgets!!!

Why Look at BNR?

- Permit requirements?
- Good Stewards of the Environment
- Potential Money SAVINGS!!

What we found out

- Our existing equipment is capable of removing total N and Total P
- It has to have some help
- Current system performs Nitrification only ($\text{NH}_3 \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{NO}_3$)
- Now we need to perform Denitrification ($\text{NO}_3 \rightarrow \text{N}\uparrow$)
- In order to do this we found that we have to turn the air off and add a carbon source
- If you leave air off an additional 30 minutes, phosphorus will also be consumed (luxury uptake)

What we did

- We obtained a carbon source from a local cereal manufacturer (sugar water)
- Air on for 2 hrs/ air off for 2 hrs
- Before Eff Total N avg was 20mg/l, After it is 12mg/l
- Before Eff Total P avg was 1.0mg/l, After it is 0.3mg/l
- Lowest Eff Total N 1.93mg/l, Total P .07mg/l


Costs Associated with BNR Changes

- Purchased a 12,000 gallon tank, 2 tanker trailers, feed pump, coriolis flow meter, nitrate sensor, and ammonium sensor.
- Added a new card and programming to PLC , updated SCADA to reflect changes
- Total Investment of \$100,000


Results

- Successfully proved we can BNR, more work to do to meet expected permit limits
- Air on for only 12 hrs instead of 24 hrs, huge savings
- Saving in pH adjusting chemical costs because denitrification process recovers pH and alkalinity
- We know what is happening in real time and can react accordingly

Historical Trending-NO3 & NH4



AVERAGES FOR 2020



Influent
Flow Cap 9 MGD
Actual 4.583812

Construction Dates

Start	1962	3 MGD
Upgrade	1975	
Upgrade	1986	6 MGD
Upgrade	1996	9 MGD

Sec SS	41.83472	MLSS	2237.056
Sec BOD	42.37137	MLVSS	1673.289
Sec COD	170.3114	RAS	7076.608
		SA	58.75032
		MCRT	84.81678
		F/M Bod	0.031099
		F/M Cod	0.125154
		WAS	

Eff Flow	4.223598
Eff SS	5.289267
Eff BOD	2.797907
Eff Nh3	0.169685

Sludge Handling System
 3 RSP Pumps 200 gpm
 4 TSP Pumps 200 gpm
 2 DSP Pumps 200 gpm
 2 SFP Pumps 400 GPM
 1 DAF 600 sf
 2 Digesters 400,000 gal
 1 Piston Pump 300 gpm
 2 Ashebrook 1.5 Meter Presses
 16 Drying Beds
 1 Covered Storage Bldg
 1 Uncovered Storage
 Land Application Permitt
 1200 Dry Tons / Year

Digesters Gallons In		DT		Avg Temp		% Vol Re	
----------------------	--	----	--	----------	--	----------	--

Gallons		Gallons	
% Poly		%Poly	
% Thick		% Cake	


TRUCK LOADS
 TOTAL CUBIC YARDS PRESS= 0

	INF	EFF
BOD	400 mg/l	2.80 mg/l
TKN	31.5 mg/l	1.75 mg/l
NO3+NO2	2.6 mg/l	11.1 mg/l
TP	5.5 mg/l	.34 mg/l
DO in Aeratic	1.8 mg/l	




Effluent total-Nitrogen Asheboro, North Carolina

Monthly average tN Rolling AVG tN



Effluent total-Phosphorus Asheboro, North Carolina

total-P Rolling 12-mo AVG



Questions or Comments?



Mike Wiseman
mwiseman@ci.Asheboro.nc.us

Acknowledgements

US EPA

Brendan Held & Craig Hesterlee

NC DEQ

Terry Albrecht, Corey Basinger & Ron Haynes

U MEMPHIS

Larry Moore, PhD

ASHEBORO

Mike Wiseman

EDEN

Melinda Ward

NEWTON

Eric Jones

REIDSVILLE

Scott Bryan



... and many more!



***Next Webinar:
North Carolina Case Studies:
part 2***

***Thursday, April 8
10:00 - 11:45 AM***

***Energy Management (4/15 & 4/22)
NC DEQ's Ron Haynes***

NC Case Studies (4/29)